

DD/A Registry
File Accounting 3-1

DD/A 76-0830

21 February 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD STATINTL

SUBJECT : Appeal of Claim - [REDACTED]

STATINTL

REFERENCE: Memo to DDA fr [REDACTED] dtd 9 Dec 75,
same subject (DD/A 76-0317)

STATINTL

1. On 19 February 1976, I talked to Mr. [REDACTED] at length. I pointed out clearly that pickpocket claims have ordinarily been disallowed. The only exceptions have been those where operational matters were involved.

STATINTL

2. I advised Mr. [REDACTED] (a) that if he has insurance which would have covered pickpocket loss and could prove that reimbursement to some degree would be accepted, and (b) the balance of the loss could not be claimed against an income tax deduction and he was able to show the net result of reduction in tax liability, we would be inclined to approve that amount of the claim. The above, of course, would be justified on the basis that his cover would not permit him to go to the insurance company and/or include the loss on his income tax statement.

STATINTL

3. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that he would provide the information requested because he was certain that were it not for cover, he would have been able to claim the pickpocket loss on his income tax return or receive some amount on his homeowner's insurance policy.

STATINTL

[REDACTED]
Executive Officer, DDA

STATINTL

Distribution:

- Orig - DDA Subject w/ref
- 1 - DDA Chrono w/cy of ref
- 1 - RFZ Chrono

EO-DDA/[REDACTED]nh (21 Feb 76)

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION FOR AND RETURN			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	ADD/A		
2	DDA		
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: <div style="font-family: cursive; font-size: 1.2em; margin-top: 10px;"> John - 5501 with Fred; can't see reason for in appeal. </div>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
UNC		CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

STATINTL

Nathalie:

STATINTL

*Pls find out where
[REDACTED] is. Lil like
to talk to him there.*

X 7607

X ~~3367~~ Commo personnel

X ~~4254~~

STATINTL

VEDY

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	SSA-DDA 7D-16 Hqs.		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Att: DD/A 76-0317			
Remarks: Brad: 1. Would you please take a look at the attached appeal. 2. It appears that cover has him "bottled up" in terms of insurance and/or income tax deduction. Two thoughts occur to me: (a) it's most likely an insurance company would have a deductible clause and perhaps would not refund the entire amount, and (b) income tax consideration for loss is \$100 deductible. 3. [REDACTED] 4. Also, note this appeal was not submitted within 60 days as prescribed by regulations, but I can't see letting that influence determination.			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
[REDACTED] EO-DDA 7D-26 Hqs. x6535			1/26/76
	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

FORM NO. 1-67 237

Use previous editions

(40)

STATINTL

STATINTL